1. Call to Order
   Time: 6:35 pm
   Track #: 60
2. Roll Call
   Erica Jung
   Peter Sean
   Jay Park
   Roberto Kim (absent)
3. Welcome Message
4. Zoning Land Use & Planning Action items
      Mike: It’s a proposed Elementary School by Equitas. This will be their third school. It will be for kindergarten to 5th grade students. Once the building is built they will have more space. It is for 500 students; 100 students for each grade. The parking lot has been redesigned which will open up more ground for playground area. There is an area for play space around the perimeter of the building. The lot itself is zoned with 2 different zones. The parking lot is a 15,000 square lot with 26 spaces. We provide landscaping around the parking lot so it doesn’t just show parking spaces. A red awning defines our main entrance.
      Erica: There are 1-way exits right?
      Mike: yes. People can come to the parking lot, park, and then exit the lot through the same entrance.
      Peter: Your plan as it is is good
      Mike: The school has a lot of experience with traffic management so they’ve designed this traffic management plan. We have a multi-purpose room that we use for lunch and activities. The review will be whether or not it will be compatible compared to the other surrounding buildings that are historic. They want a rectangular building with a flat roof and parking in the back.
      Peter: I’m more concerned about the areas surrounding the school. I know it’s some work but we’d like everyone around your place to not have any complaints. Some residential areas don’t like to have a school or children around.
Principal: We distributed flyers and held an open meeting to bring up this concern. We didn’t have anyone show up to show any concern towards the school.

Public: I’m assuming 500 students per day would create a lot of traffic in the area. People in the area don’t know your school is coming. How are you going to build a school in a very dense area. You also have a school new school in a historical area with colors that simply don’t match. There has to be about 200 signatures from residents in the area in order for hem to approve it. This is valuable stuff you’re bringing in but it’s sticking out.

Mike: Both properties for the building and the school are C2 commercial. We’d have to change our zone for low-income housing.

Public: We don’t want dead space in the area we want filled-space. Your survey of people of the pico union neighborhood is important but you have only called-out to your people in the area.

Mike: They don’t want the building to mimic the current building but to incorporate some of those elements into the new building.

Peter: Can you please bring proof of your meeting with the city as well?

Mike: Yes.

Peter: We’d like to have another meeting and in the meantime we’ll go look at the area and do our own research.

Peter motions to bring the item to another meeting in the future

Erica 2nds the motion

Motion is approved by unanimous vote

b. Discussion and possible Action, Matthew Vigil/Albert Sandoval with Vinculums Services to provide additional information about the proposed wireless facility for Verizon Wireless.

Matt: There was some concern from the neighborhood that there was not enough information being presented to you the first time around. We are back here today to make a brief presentation. We brought an expert in the field from Sacramento. There will be antennas that will be painted to blend in with the area.

Peter: What kind of emissions will this produce? We studied this subject and we’d like to know what type of power-density will be used?

Matt: The maximum power-density at any area will be about 50microWatts/s.

Peter: We’re not here to disclude you but rather address safety concerns

Expert: This list represents 1/100th of a list of the overall concerns. The source of this list is not by experts or scientists. These are done by self-appointed individuals. Any expert in the field will agree that there is no health-risks at low-level RF Levels. This particular treatus did not come from the department of interior it came from the author. I’m not aware of any proposed rule-making by the FCC. The cell-phone standards that exist today were established since 1966. Since then there have been over 30 international panels. Are the standards correct? So each takes over 6 months to review the literature. They have all come back to say that the standards are adequate enough.

Peter: Do you have any literature to support that statement?

Expert: You bet, I’ll send that literature with the citations.

Erica: These documents say there are radioactivity from the satellites

Matt: We’ll service it once a month just to make sure everything is fine but typically they are self-sufficient.

Expert: I’ve written a report on the output and emissions at the top level

Peter: Would you please go around the neighborhood of the City of Los Angeles up to 1000ft radius as well as talk to the schools and get their opinion. We want to know if it’s safe for the children. We’d like to see if the schools are okay with the school towers.

Matt: We already have many schools with cell towers in the schools themselves.

Erica: And you are aware that the area is all-residential. Can you just go to the school and talk to them.
5. Public comments on non-agenda items within the committee’s subject matter jurisdiction.

6. Board Member comments on non-agenda items within the committee’s subject matter jurisdiction.

7. Meeting adjournment.

The public is requested to fill out a “Speaker Card” to address the Board on any agenda item before the Committee takes an action. Public comment is limited to 2 minutes per speaker, but the Board has the discretion to modify the amount of time for any speaker. The public may comment on a specific item listed on this agenda when the Board considers that item. When the Board considers the agenda item entitled “Public Comments,” the public has the right to comment on any matter that is within the Board’s jurisdiction. In addition, the members of the public may request and receive copies without undue delay of any documents that are distributed to the Board, unless there is a specific exemption under the Public Records Act that prevents the disclosure of the record (Govt. Code § 54957.5). In compliance with Government Code section 54957.5, non-exempt writings that are distributed to a majority or all of the board in advance of a meeting may be viewed at 1225 S. Union Ave, Los Angeles, CA 90025, at our website by clicking on the following link: www.picounioncouncil.org or at the scheduled meeting. In addition, if you would like a copy of any record related to an item on the agenda, please contact the PUC office at 213-738-0137.

The Pico Union Neighborhood Council holds its regular meetings on the first Monday of every month and may also call any additional required special meetings in accordance with its Bylaws and the Brown Act. The agenda for the regular and special meetings is posted for public review at 1) Normandie Recreation Center, 1550 S. Normandie Bl. 2) Berendo Middle School 1357 S. Berendo St. 3) Leo Politi Elementary School 2481 W. 11th St. 4) Magnolia Ave. Elementary School 1626 S. Orchard Ave. 5) Tenth Street Elementary School 1000 Grantan 6) Pico Union Branch Public Library 1630 S. Alvarado St. 7) Toberman Recreational Center 1725 Toberman St. The Pico Union Neighborhood Council complies with Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act and does not discriminate on the basis of any disability. Upon request, the Pico Union Neighborhood Council will provide reasonable accommodations to ensure equal access to its programs, services, and activities. Sign language interpreters, assistive listening devices, or other auxiliary aids and/or services may be provided upon request. To ensure the availability of services, please make your request at least 7 days prior to the meeting you wish to attend by contacting the Pico Union Neighborhood Council at 213-738-0137 or please send an email that states the accommodations that you are requesting to picounion0137@att.net Process for Reconsideration - An official vote or action of the Board may be reconsidered upon request as follows: a.) Reconsideration may take place immediately following the original action or at the next regular meeting. A member of the Board, at either of the meetings shall make a motion to reconsider the action or decision. If approved by majority vote of the Board, the Board may immediately rehear the matter and take action. b.) A motion for reconsideration may only be made by a Board member who previously voted on the prevailing side of the original action or decision taken. PROCESS FOR FILING A GRIEVANCE: Any grievance by a Stakeholder must be submitted in writing to the Board of Governors. The Board of Governors shall then refer the matter to an ad hoc grievance panel comprised of 3 Stakeholders who are randomly selected by the Council secretary from a list of Stakeholders who have previously expressed an interest in serving from time-to-time on such a grievance panel. The Secretary will coordinate a time and place for the panel to meet with the person(s) submitting a grievance and to discuss ways in which the dispute may be resolved. Thereafter, a panel member shall prepare and submit a written report to the Board outlining the panel's collective recommendations for resolving the grievance, no later than two weeks after it has met with the person submitting the grievance. The Board of Governors may receive a copy of the panel's report and recommendations prior to any meeting by the Board, but the matter shall not be discussed among the Board members until the matter is heard at the next regular meeting of the Board. This formal grievance process is intended to address matters involving procedural disputes, e.g., the Board's failure to comply with Board Rules or these Bylaws, or its failure to comply with the City's Charter, the Plan, local ordinances, and/or state and federal law. In the event that a grievance cannot be resolved through this grievance process, then the matter may be referred to the Department of Neighborhood Empowerment for consideration or dispute resolution in accordance with the Plan.